Appendix 3

The Stakeholder Workshop

Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern District Landscape Character Assessment

Stakeholder Workshop Report

Prepared for Buckinghamshire County Council by Land Use Consultants

February 2011



LUC SERVICES

Environmental Planning
Landscape Design
Landscape Management
Masterplanning
Landscape Planning
Ecology
Environmental Assessment
Rural Futures
Digital Design
Urban Regeneration
Urban Design

43 Chalton Street London NW1 IJD Tel: 020 7383 5784 Fax: 020 7383 4798 london@landuse.co.uk

14 Great George Street Bristol BS1 5RH Tel: 0117 929 1997 Fax: 0117 929 1998 bristol@landuse.co.uk 37 Otago Street Glasgow G1 (15.1)2 8JJ Tel: 0141 334 9595 Fax: 0141 334 7789 glasgow@landuse.co.uk

28 Stafford Street Edinburgh EH3 (16.1) 7BD Tel: 0131 202 1616 edinburgh@landuse.co.uk

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

| Version | Issued by: | Approved by: |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Final | | |
| | Kate Milner | Kate Ahern |
| | Landscape Architect | Principal-in-Charge |
| | | |
| | 16/14/11 | 16/14/11 |
| | | |
| | | |

CONTENTS

| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|---------|--|----|
| 2 SO | EXERCISE I: WHAT IS SPECIAL ABOUT THE WYCOMBE, UTH BUCKS AND CHILTERN LANDSCAPE AND WHY? | 3 |
| 3 DE | EXERCISE 2: TESTING THE CHARACTER MAPS AND SCRIPTIONS | 21 |
| 4 | EVALUATION AND NEXT STEPS | 43 |

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Buckinghamshire County Council and Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern District Councils are currently working with Land Use Consultants to complete a Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) for Buckinghamshire. This study will tie in with existing Aylesbury Vale Landscape Character Assessment to provide a complete assessment for the County. The results of the study will help to guide future landscape management and planning decisions.
- 1.2 Understanding what is important about the landscape and the particular issues that concern the local community who live and work in Buckinghamshire is a vital part of this project. Effective stakeholder consultation is therefore key to the process and success of landscape character assessment, ensuring a wide range of views and values are represented. For the Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern District LCA, One participatory morning workshop was held on February 2nd 2011 at Missenden Abbey with the attendance of 40 participants and 6 facilitators (see Appendix A for full list).
- 1.3 The consultation technique aimed to achieve active participation and to generate information appropriate to inform the landscape character assessment which covers 3 districts. The overall aim being to allow people who live and work in the district to understand and contribute to the process of LCA, rather than simply being consulted on the final report.

THE OBJECTIVES OF THE WORKSHOPS:

- 1.4 The objectives of the stakeholder consultation were to:
 - Explain the process of Landscape Character Assessment and relevance to Buckinghamshire.
 - Understand perceptions of what people value as important/special about Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern Districts and why.
 - Validate the landscape characterisation map including character area boundaries, names, key characteristics and management needs.

WORKSHOP EXERCISES

1.5 Two exercises where carried out during the workshop, the results of which are presented in the following chapters of this report.

Exercise 1: Carousel: What Is Special About the Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern Landscape and Why?

1.6 The purpose of this exercise was to determine "what is special" about various aspects of the landscape and why. The information will be used to add local perspectives on the landscape to the report.

Exercise 2: Testing the Character Maps and Descriptions

1.7 The purpose of this exercise was to seek participants' opinions on the landscape character areas identified by the assessment, the boundaries, the area names/titles and the key characteristics. Participants were also asked what they value about the area, whether there are any particular issues within this landscape and how they would like this area to be in the future?

2 Exercise 1: What Is Special About the Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern Landscape and Why?

- 2.1 The following tables show the features of the Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern landscape which participants consider to be important and why. These comments were prompted by the following headings:
 - Views and Landmarks
 - Heritage/Historic Places
 - Access/ Recreation Spaces/Areas
 - Natural Environment/Biodiversity
 - Built Character and materials
 - Perceptions/Experience

VIEWS AND LANDMARKS

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|---|
| Ridgeway | Rolling hills leading up to escarpment on east side of A4010- gives perception of intrinsic Chilterns landscapes - often people's first early impression of the AONB. |
| Bledlow Ridge | Views both sides / vantage point. Few places to see along ridge. |
| Whiteleaf Cross | View across Aylesbury Vale. |
| Pulpit Hill | Treeless feature. |
| Ellesborough Church | Sits above the landscape impressively. |
| The Lee / Kingsash | Fine views down and across upper Misbourne Valley. |
| Chartridge (North of Chesham) – Chess Valley | View – can see all the way into London. |
| Hyde End | Views towards old Amersham. |
| Urban views | Moving and transient as people travel, especially views down to valley towns and views up and down the valley, along market streets etc. |
| Great Missenden Abbey & Park | View from road is pleasant and historical. |
| Painter's views | Stanley Spencer (from Cobham across the River Thames). |
| Chiltern Hundreds | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|---|
| Latimer – Chenies | Across Chess Valley. |
| Latimer House | Across valley. |
| Kings Ash wider view | View from Harpenden Cross typical Chiltern Beech Woods – autumn colours. |
| View from M40 dropping down through chalk on M40 | Opens up different landscape types, Red Kites etc. |
| Fingest | Attractive village and church nestling at head of tranquil valley – woodland on top. |
| Turville | Windmill, very secluded but attractive view from village, classic Chilterns landform. |
| Biddenham | Quintessential England. |
| West Wycombe House and Mausoleum | Very important landmark and historical resonance. |
| Hambleden Valley | Distinct, enclosed. |
| Hambleden Mill | Mill End – access to Weir – views across and along Thames Valley. |
| Shardeloes House overlooking A413 near Amersham | Listed building and historic park. |
| Hughenden Manor | Views. |
| High Wycombe | Views from each side of the Wye Valley towards each other – some spoilt by unattractive suburban development. Unspoilt views very attractive and precious. |
| West Wycombe park including monument on hill | Historic parkland with intimate views. |
| West Wycombe Park – house and park | Designed landscape. |
| Shardeloes | View across parkland & lake to country house. |
| Views southwards over Thames Valley from Taplow Village (Conservation Area) | Cliveden Valley Views – historic. |
| Cliveden | Along river – historic associations. |
| River Thames | Taplow Court has good viewpoint – view and landmark. Nationally important large river contrast with smaller chalk streams. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| View of Cookham Winter Hill from Bourne End area of Thames | - |
| Winter Hill views | Panoramic view across Thames Valleys. |
| Views from Flackwell Heath ridge | Ascot race course, hills next to Watership Down, 'Wild Wood' (Wind in the Willows), Winter Hill, Ashley Forest (in Berks). |
| View from edge of Bloom Wood, Little Marlow. | Can see a large expanse of the Thames Valley. Applies to top of dip slope all way from Flackwell Heath to Handy Cross – can see Windsor Castle. |
| Disraeli Monument | - |
| John Hampden Memorial | - |
| Views of Shardeloes from the train. | The first tranquil, beautiful view on the journey north from London. |
| Also views of Shardeloes from adjacent post-palms | Views of Shardeloes onto designed landscape. |
| Gomm Valley, Tom Burt's Hill | Views across High Wycombe. |
| Farm Wood | Woodburn, Bergers Hill looking back up the Wye Valley and down towards the Thames Valley. |
| View from top of Amersham on the Hill looking down towards Amersham Old Town (from north looking south across valley and up towards Coleshill | Historic setting. |
| M40 cutting at Aston Rowant | - |
| Views Bisham Abbey from north of River | - |
| Views from escarpment e.g. Whiteleaf cross, Brush Hill LNR | Views across Vale and into intimate landscape of Chilterns. |
| 'Surprise' glimpsed views of intimate Chiltern landscape (e.g. when walking or driving) | Interesting work with landscape. |
| Into district from Harefield | Views across Colne Valley from slopes of Harefield. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|---|
| | Legacy of aggregate extraction across three counties. |
| Denham | Listed buildings, historic town, views of buildings equally inspiring. |
| Views out from Cliveden | - |
| Cliveden | From Cookham. |
| Langley Park | Views of Windsor Castle, historic parkland garden. |
| View across valley at Chesham | Contrast between old town of Chesham with church and spire, old historic buildings and wooded hill sides. |
| West Wycombe monument outlook, Fingest valley church, Lodge Hill outlook, Beacon Hill (Chequers) outlook, Kings Ash view to Misbourne Valley | - |
| Coombe Hill | Clear, width of view, everyone, highest point |
| Whiteleaf Cross West Wycombe Hill South Bucks terrace looking southwards | Historic associations, built as landmarks. All important orientation points for finding way around. View – variety, puts manmade things into perspective in the larger landscape view. Views of designed landscape (historic houses). |

HERITAGE/HISTORIC PLACES

| What is important | Why is it important |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Cliveden and estate | House / gardens / woods |
| West Wycombe and village | Landscape character |
| Burnham Beeches | Historic woodland |
| Dorney Wood | House / Garden |
| Chiltern Hundreds area | - |
| Hughenden Manor and estate | Historic house / garden and estate |
| Bradenham Manor and village | Woodland and village |
| Watercress Beds in Chess | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|--|
| Valley | |
| South Bucks | Health and commons |
| Stoke Common, Littleworth, Burnham Beeches | |
| Historical / arterial lanes | Lanes from the 'Ends' commons to river valleys |
| The distinctive character – a settlement / roads outside the main (valley bottom) 'villages' – town. | Dispersed scattered houses in complex track /road systems "wooden" landscapes. Most high ground (plateau) in top half of dipslope |
| Icknield Way | Recognise landscape as very different, link Chilterns – like AVDC to Vale. |
| Historic buildings - Old Amersham, Little Missenden, Great Missenden | Grown up as staying posts relating to roads and railways |
| Holloways / sunken lanes / Ancient trackways | - |
| Cliveden | Panoramic views of the Thames and also views from the Thames / Maidenhead to Cliveden. |
| Beech woods, various areas of Chiltern escarpment | Furniture making |
| Heaths and Commons | Biodiversity, historical uses, archaeology |
| Langley Park and garden | Victorian Gardens |
| Ancient Lanes & Holloways especially north west of Chesham | - |
| River Thames Valley Corridor | River related heritage - transport / fishing etc and milling |
| River Corridor Parklands – Cliveden etc | - |
| Cherries Manor | Quintessentially English, villages – unspoilt. Vernacular buildings. Cherries Manor Garden |
| Latimer Village | |
| Denham Village | Houses |
| Historic parkland in mid Colne Valley | Park around Iver Parish. |
| Small ancient woodlands in north of Denham Parish | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| SW Wycombe District | - |
| Chiltern Beechwood | Industrial legacy – furniture making etc |
| Lakeland landscape of the Colne Valley | - |
| Historic Country houses and their grounds | Landscaped gardens, e.g. Hughenden Manor, West Wycombe Harleyford |
| Prehistoric sites along chalk streams river valleys, e.g. at Latimer and in towns e.g. Chesham BA Sites | - |
| Historic villages & churches | Reflect our history. Fit well into landscape throughout |
| Sunken lanes | Intimate views, typical for character all over the place. |
| Icknield Way | |
| Mills, Wye Valley | Historic land use. |
| Commons and historic recreational areas, e.g. Slate Meadow (Bourne End) and similar sites | - |
| Beech woodland generally throughout the county | - |
| Archaeologically important sites, e.g. Gomm Valley | - |
| Visible prehistoric earthworks hillforts / Grims Ditch | Rare survivals in the region |
| Ancient woodlands and beech woodlands | Both the trees themselves and linked cultural / archaeological heritage |
| Old Chalk workings | - |
| Woodland banks | - |
| Core village areas e.g. West Wycombe and Amersham | - |
| South Bucks heaths and commons | Historic landscape, long history of access, common usage, contain a range of archaeological features / evidence of peoples' use, preserved by long periods of consistent land use e.g. Burnham Becks, Stoke Common, Cliff Worth Common. |
| Whiteleaf cross | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Designed landscapes | Historical association. Aesthetic value |
| Historic land uses such as watercress beds, woodlands, commons | Reflects our history, shape the landscape – necessary for keeping them throughout. |
| Hampden House | Historic connections |
| Pattern of historic settlement | Sense of long human habitation, aesthetically pleasing. The ordinary built home – with the best surviving |
| South Bucks Commons and wetlands Stoke / Burham | Historical use of the landscapes and interaction with people |
| Vernacular architecture | Celebrates the buildings of the ordinary people (all over) |
| Marlow – low grounds | Neolithic settlement area |
| Earthworks in woodland e.g. Common Wood, Reddingwick Wood, Frith Wood, Rook Wood, Colemans Wood, Cholesbury etc | - |
| Historic buildings | Reflecting local geology |
| Marlow Bridge | Historic landmark |
| Stone House & Gardens | Physical presence, historic value, leisure and tourism, national value |
| Historic parkland South Bucks, Dorm Wood. | Old parkland landscapes. Fairly accessible |
| Romano-British Villa sites along the chalk valleys and Thames, e.g. Bucy Villa, Hatman villa Hambleden Valley form | - |
| Chequers | PM's country residence |
| Cliveden, Wycombe, Cholesbury Mill. | Victorian culture, e.g. Bloomsbury set |
| Round Barrows, e.g Cophill Bledlow, Churches. | - |
| Taplow Court | Views from Taplow Mill / Taplow Riverside |
| Cliveden | Historic house and gardens |
| Abbots House (Devere Conference Centre), Cryers | Assembly / economic, views to Hughenden Valley, physical value and historic merit |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|---|
| Hill | |
| Gravel Pits | Historic use Marlow Gravel Pits |
| Green Lanes | Historic hedges |
| Hellfire Caves West Wycombe | Leisure, historic, tourism, part of West Wycombe, physical presence, archaeology? |
| Lowlands of Longwick, Meadle, Marsh looking back towards the Chilterns | Tranquillity and views |
| Parklands in South Bucks including ones broken up but with remaining veteran trees in changed landscape | - |
| Pinewood | Film Studios |
| Radnage as a traffic- free area | Quietness & tranquillity |
| Ancient enclosures | Surviving mediaeval earthworks – plentiful in Chilterns. Iron Age hill forts – at least 7 in Chilterns |
| Whiteleaf Cross | Uncertain historical origin. On hillside above Risborough |
| Historic Gardens, National rust properties, Littleworth Common | Parkland landscapes, Burnham Beeches, village centres |
| Flint and brick | Demonstrates the underlying geology. All over Chilterns |
| Parklands, Holtspur Chalk | Surrounded by settlement but relatively untouched |
| Valley, Dorney Common | Relatively untouched considering surrounded by settlement |
| Grims Ditch, Lacy Green Windmill, Turville Mill, Saw Pits in Woodlands | - |
| Old rural Chiltern villages | Easily identifiable human historic impact. In valleys across area |
| Thames Terrace | Cultural landscape, woodland, heath, wood pasture – pastoral landscape, significant regionally and nationally |
| Shardeloes Park | Importance of its designer, significance in the valley, pool of cultural history |
| Shardeloes Park / Lake | - |
| Hughenden Manor and | Important links to Disraeli and WWII heritage |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Estate | |
| Medieval sites associated with villages, e.g. Lee | - |
| West Wycombe Hill | Church, golden hall, hill fort. Views, heritage, links to several layers of history and culture |
| Coombe Hill Monument | Important viewpoint. Important cultural history |
| The Ridgeway | Britain's oldest road, view, hill forts |
| Remnants of old landscape patterns | History of human habitation, adds variety and distinctiveness, gives depth of ownership. |
| Langley Park | Brown landscape, views, veteran trees, layers of history |
| Urban greenspaces along River Wye, e.g. The Rye | Important greenspace for town, ecologically and culturally important, heritage (mills parkland) |
| Ancient woodlands, e.g. Pygote | important for archaeology |
| Archaeology e.g. Sawpits, chalk pits | Association with important furniture industry |

ACCESS/ RECREATION SPACES/AREAS

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Key routes along valley bottoms | Historic routes to London |
| Ridge Way National Trail. Icknield Way – Upper and Lower | - |
| Denham Country Park (LCA B2 (26.3)) | Access to other circular footpaths |
| Informal access (LCA O3 (22.3)) | Footpaths, prow network, local woodlands |
| Colne Valley Lakes (LCA B2 (26.3)) | A combination of many characters |
| (LCA NI (19.2)) | Good 'escape' from Gerrards Cross and Chalfonts built up area |
| (LCA N1 (19.2)) Footpath along river | Very important views to experience |
| LCA NI (19.2) | Safe way to walk from Chalfont St Giles to St Peter. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|--|
| | Tranquil |
| LCA N1 (19.2) Could be 2 areas from access point of view | Northern Park = more attractive |
| LCA NI (19.2) | Local walks rather than experience |
| LCA K2 (24.2) 'Go Ape' at Butell Park | Attraction |
| LCA 02 (22.2) issues: M25, Noise | Lack of connectivity of paths / bridle ways |
| LCA 02 (22.2) | Fragmented |
| LCA 02 (22.2) | Gypsy activity, fly tipping, infringe type activities |
| LCA 02 (22.2) | Some nice arable land but fragmented by roads / rail |
| (LCA 02 (22.2)) A412 | On edge busy road, obstructs enjoyment |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | For access north south from Slough |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | Lack of linear routes / access |
| LCA K2 (24.2) Langley Park, Stoke Common, Burnham Beeches, Beeches Way | Good access, lots of open access land |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | Good opportunities for horse riding |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | Some lack of parking – local access only to some areas |
| LCA PI (23.I) | Good access but lack of linkages |
| LCA PI (23.1) Jubilee River Walkway | Good long distance paths |
| LCA PI (23.1) | Good general access to the river but lack of local linkages, e.g. circular walks near stations |
| General South Bucks. Water access | Canal, Thames, Jubilee River |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | 4 county parks over 100ha in LCA K2 (24.2) area, or near Langley, Thorney, Black Park, Denham Lake |
| General | Deficit of general open spaces which are not National Trust or 'semi' private |
| General | Golf courses important |
| Hughenden Valley Walk | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Thames Path | - |
| Chiltern Way / Midshire Way. Bledlow Ridge / Chinnor | Historic Assets |
| North west of Windsor | Olympic Lake |
| General – Forestry Commission Woodlands | Wendover Woods |
| General – Denham Airfield, Boiler Airfield (Gliders) | Recreation – air |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | Some restricted access through historic parks |
| LCA K2 (24.2) | Good general access but need links between |

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT/BIODIVERSITY

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Naphill Common and on scarp (Christmas Common) | Rare junipers |
| Naphill Common | Said to have several rare moths |
| Ponds | For biodiversity but also their historical associations |
| Red Kites | - |
| Beechwood | Year round interest – glorious autumn colour |
| Sarcen stones, Pudding stone, Chalk cliffs, e.g. Cliveden | Geological interest, e.g. flints lying on ground |
| Rivers that disappear, Winter bournes | Change and variety in landscape |
| Chalk grassland | Rich flora and fauna |
| Steep sides valleys / escarpment | Intricacy of valley, close knit landform |
| Red Kites (23 - number relates to map) | - |
| Chiltern Scarps (22- number relates to map) | Geological feature, distinctive topographical feature, distinction between vale |
| Chalk Downland | Views |
| Open (Beech) Woodland | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Dry valleys | - |
| Surviving Heath | - |
| Beech woodlands (3 number relates to map) | Diversity, link with settlements and wider countryside. |
| Statutory designations SAC / SPA (Colne Valley Lakes), SSSI | Habitats |
| Red Kites and healthy environment. | Adds to landscape value |
| Bluebells (15 number relates to map) and Snowdrops | Heathy cover, aesthetic value – ancient woodland, around settlements |
| Heathland and Wet woodland (13 number relates to map) | - |
| Bledlow Great Park (17 number relates to map) | Density of tree coverage, birdlife, setting to Chinnor and steam railway, gateway to Bledlow / Ridge |
| Reintroduce grazing (11 number relates to map), wood pasture | Wooded terrace strip |
| Naphill Common (7 number relates to map), Burnham Beeches (6) | Veteran trees / wood pasture |
| Biodiversity Action Plan | Priority Habitats |
| Non-stat. habitat designation | Local wildlife sites (all), Biological notification sites (of varying quality), Ancient woodlands (all) |
| Green Lanes and sunken roads in Chilterns | Important local species, black poplar. |
| Beech Woodlands on the Chiltern Hills. Chalk streams and chalk grassland. Heaths & Commons in the southern districts | Historic landscape and relic management |
| Langley park | Fungal association |
| Mixed woodland and grassland along Ridgeway (2 number relates to map) | Valued for recreational use |
| Burnham Beeches + Black Park (4 number relates to map) | Large stretch of natural woodland and water. Biodiversity and recreation |
| Heathland associated with South Bucks commons | Import relic of historical management |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Beech woods (across Chilterns) | Biodiversity, provides habitat for wildlife (too many fields mono culture) |
| BAP habitats – chalk rivers | Local geological sites |
| SSSI Local wildlife sites Higher level stewardship | Farms – assets not usually recognised by designations – managed when & to enhance in some cases. |
| Birds | Talk to Bucks Bird club about their important areas |
| Hedgerows (historic especially), Commons in the Chilterns, Red Kite across Chilterns | - |
| Woodland | Ancient woodland at Burnham Beeches and spread all over. |
| Biodiversity Opportunity Areas | - |
| Heathlands and commons of South Bucks | Reptiles, reduced habitat size |
| Relict habitats (5 number relates to map) | Heathland / acid grassland e.g. Hawridge and Cholesbury Common. |
| Bluebell woods on hillsides (18 number relates to map) | - |
| Naphill Common, Littleworth Common | Orchids species |
| Chalk Rivers - River Misbourne through Little Missenden | Helps to create character |
| Chalk streams, woodlands, chalk grassland and scrub, pasture | Mosaic of different important habitats that support many rare species (at chalk grassland on steep slopes). Woodlands on top of hills |
| Mosaic of habitats | Small patch sizes of habitats. Offer a wide range of habitats in one LCA. |
| Traces of juniper – Woodland Whiteley (19 number relates to map) | Rarity illustrative of historic landscape |
| Biodiversity opportunity areas | All of the above! |
| Langley Park (21 number relates to map) | International significance, Parkland trees |
| Lowland heathland, lowland dry acid grassland | - |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|--|
| Chalk streams | - |
| Thames Corridor wetlands (12 number relates to map) | Potential 'living landscape' |
| Dorney / Jubilee River | |
| Little Marlow Grand Prix (13 number relates to map) | Designated for Country Park, 210+ bird species, 6 bat species, butterflies and dragonflies in mid 20s. |
| Chalk streams | Woodland |
| Burnham Beeches | Wildlife Reserves e.g. Woodlands, farms |
| Chalk grassland areas e.g. Gomm Valley, High Wycombe (12 number relates to map) | |
| Beech woodland, Penn Woods | Forms character of the area |
| Commons e.g. Cholesbury Hawridge | |
| Langley Farm, Burnham Beeches (10 number relates to map) Cliveden | Nationally important |
| Black Park | Crossbills |
| Wye Valley (14 number relates to map) | Recreational, accessible |
| The Rye | Woodland, historic park land |
| Suite of commons (Farnham Common Thames) (9 number relates to map) | Relict, heathrow, communities – distinct to area, parkland and old trees and species |
| Saracen stones at Bradenham | Geology |
| E.g. Naphill Common | Ancient woodland, veteran trees |
| Wildlife Trust living landscape areas | - |
| Beechwood land | Characterised by single age over-mature plantation. Scattered over hill tops and hill sides. |
| Bluebell woodland (16 number relates to map) | Colour in May |
| River valley of Colne (20 | Cross countries. reed beds, wet woodland, variety of |
| number relates to map) | wetland habitat, accessible by people |
| Tree collection at Dropmore | Thought into designed landscape |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|--|
| (21 number relates to map) | |
| Scarp slopes of Chilterns and water cress beds | Scarp slopes of Chilterns specialised flora Water cress beds – natural and managed |
| Biodiversity Opportunity Areas | Have BAP habitats mapped with opportunities for |
| identified in BAP delivery in County. | habitat restoration and creation. |
| Chalk Streams | BAP habitats, nationally recognised |
| Wye, Hambleden, Hughenden | Through urban areas – important |
| Habitats in process of being restored / recreated through agri-environment schemes. | - |
| Chess Valley | Watercress beds, highland cattle, v attractive and varied landscape, floodplain – woodland |
| Farms in Countryside Stewardship | - |
| Misbourne Valley | Biodiversity, floodplain |
| Hambleden Valley | Rural tranquillity |

BUILT CHARACTER AND MATERIALS

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|--|
| Brick and Flint building | Vernacular. Typical. |
| Scattered all over | Reflective of the local geology and land use. |
| e.g. Chenies Village (church, | Flint provides evidence of a time when there was a lack of stone for buildings. |
| and manor house) and Hambleden | They also blend in with the landscape. |
| Timber framed infilling of buildings, with flint. | Represent some of the earliest buildings. E.g. Cheines Manor and Old Amersham |
| Farmhouses and barns spread all over | Fit in with the landscape. Use traditional materials such as timber and clay tiles. Black weather boarding very distinctive. |
| Chiltern ancient sunken lanes – Holloways | Distinctive character, deep and steep form. Illustrates ancient routes and droves for cattle. |
| Scattered all over the Chilterns, particularly the Chess Valley and the Scarp | Winding and work with the topography. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|--|
| Small rural winding roads which | Represent history use of the landscape – Anglo Saxon. |
| rise up through the valleys. | Transport corridors follow the grain of the valleys. |
| Zig zag roads to Bledlow Ridge from the west | - |
| Clay tile roofs – 'Roofscape' of villages | They nestle into the landscape, and are not usually intrusive. The colours blend in. |
| White and black finger post road signage. | Vernacular within the Chilterns AONB |
| Green village signage | Sympathetic with the area. |
| Old Shire Lane | Representative of a Roman footpath |
| Distinctive villages Amersham Old Town Beaconsfield Old Town, Frieth, Hambleden | Amersham has a wealth of old building dating back to the 14th century, including a 15th century mill. Many buildings are made from local stone reflecting the local geology. Hambleden is distinctive in its layout and materials, and isolated in the valley with surrounding Holloway tracks. |
| Mills. | Characteristic of the history and concentrated along the River Wye. They show how the land use has changed over time. |
| National Trust properties. e.g. Hughenden Manor, Cliveden, Shardeloes, Bradenham | They sit within big landscaped areas, and are often close to London, demonstrating how wealthy people used to live in these large houses close to London. Cliveden sits high above the valley, good views open to the public. |
| West Wycombe | Owned by National Trust. Has an historical association. Distinctive Church and the Mausoleum. Flint houses are distinctive |
| Windmills | Landmark features |
| Country Houses | Demonstrate that we are near London and rich people were able to come and build and make landscapes. |
| Building Works at Maidenhead (outside of the study site) | Building materials from here inform the local buildings |
| Bellingdon brick works. | Local materials from here are reflective in the built structure of Chesham. |
| Railway bridges | e.g. Taplow. 19th century and listed buildings. Distinctive historical features in the landscape. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|--|---|
| Small bridges | Remnants of older way of life, picturesque and in scale with the landscape. |
| Denner Hill stone (known as clunch) Risborough, | Buildings made from this show evidence of medieval origin. |
| Houses along commons e.g. Naphill | Act as village centres. Characteristic. |
| River corridor through High Wycombe | Acts as a valuable element of the urban landscape here. |
| M40 Corridor in the west of | Acts as a dramatic entrance into Bucks. Creates an |
| the study site | experience and great views open out. |
| Colne Valley lakes and Grand Union Canal | Built water featured and related infrastructure. |
| Monument on Coombe Hill | - |
| The Lee | Ancient II th century church and houses are distinctive buildings. |
| Riverside Wharfs | - |
| A40, Stokenchurch, West Wycombe | Trees line the road and enclose. |
| Historic built heritage (manor houses, mills, old farms, villages) | Reflect the history. Work well with the landscape. |
| Great Missenden | Church Street (14th century to 18th century houses and Great Missenden Abbey (13th century remains and late 18th century house. |

PERCEPTIONS/EXPERIENCE

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|--|
| Chess Valley, The Hampdens to Dunsmore, Valley below Bledlow Ridge (v enclosed), Chartridge – Cholesbury, Turville – Moor End, Hambleden (but motorway), Bradenham Valley, Burnham Beeches (common, river views, beech trees) and Cookham – Thames area | Pockets of tranquillity close to urban areas, e.g. Chesham Tranquillity Note: a map of 'tranquillity' was produced as part of the workshop exercise. |

| What is important | Why is it important |
|---|---|
| Art, literature, music Inspiration: | |
| Thames | Wind in the Willows |
| River Valleys – Thames and Colne | Winterbournes / waterscapes |
| Cliveden | Astors |
| West Wycombe Park | Bloomsbury set |
| Great Missenden | Dahl |
| Langley Park | Capability Brown |
| Generally | Contrast between towns and villages and open countryside. Wildness, remoteness. Enclosed and open A landscape of 'surprises' Curiosities Can 'see' history and 'read' the landscape |
| Stoke Poges | Gray's Elegy |
| Charter House | Repton |
| Hughenden | Disraeli |
| Burnham, Burnham Beeches | Mendelssohn / Betjeman Cultural landscape – traditional forms of management |
| Landscape away from dominant roads. | Peaceful, quiet, 'lost in time'. |
| Wide views, hidden valleys | Secret, small features – intimate topography – get away from roads and noise |
| Glimpses, e.g. open glades in woodlands | Pulls you into the landscape |
| A sheltered landscape | Exciting weather, valuable microclimate, fog in valley, difference in vale, exposed areas. |
| Flat Vale & Chilterns | Contrast |
| Accessibility - Rights of way | Offers wide variety of experiences in different areas. |

3 Exercise 2: Testing the Character Maps and Descriptions

CHARACTER AREA NAMES

3.1 The following table summarises participants' comments on the character area names and LUC's response to these comments.

| Existii | ng N ame | New LCA Number ¹ | Suggested Name | LUC response |
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| ΑI | Chess Chalk River Valley | 13.5 | Agree | - |
| A2 | Misbourne Upper Chalk River Valley | 13.4 | Agree | - |
| A3 | Wye Valley Chalk River Valley | 13.3 | Agree | - |
| A4 | Hughenden Valley Chalk River Valley | 13.2 | Agree although 'Stream valley' may be more appropriate | Retain name. Although the Hughenden is a stream the character area has similar characteristics to the other chalk river valleys and should sit within the same landscape type. |
| A5 | Hambleden Valley Chalk River Valley | 13.1 | Agree | - |
| ВІ | Thames Floodplain | 26.1 | Agree | - |
| B2 | Colne Valley Floodplain | 26.3 | Agree | - |
| В3 | Dorney Floodplain | 26.2 | Agree | - |
| CI | Chiltern Scarp Escarpment | 11.3 | Too generic. Coombe Hill / Whiteleaf Cross Escarpment / Risborough Escarpment | Change to Coombe Hill and Whiteleaf Escarpment. |
| C2 | Wain Hill Escarpment | 11.2 | Agree | - |
| DI | Risborough Foothills Scarp Foothills | 10.6 | Agree | - |
| EI | Longwick Vale | 8.11 | Agree | - |
| FI | Great Hampden | 14.1 | Agree | - |

¹ Numberings subsequently changed to tie in with Aylesbury Vale Landscape Character Assessment.

| | Wooded Plateau | | | |
|----|--|------|---|---|
| GI | Lee and Buckland Common Undulating Plateau | 15.1 | Agree | - |
| G2 | Southend Undulating Plateau | 15.2 | Rename Fawley Undulating Plateau | Change to Fawley Undulating Plateau |
| ні | Walter's Ash Settled Plateau | 16.2 | Why Walter's not Naphill (says Naphill resident!) | Change to Walter's Ash and Naphill Settled Plateau |
| H2 | Great Kingshill Settled Plateau | 16.3 | Agree | - |
| Н3 | Stokenchurch Settled Plateau | 16.1 | Agree | - |
| H4 | Hyde Heath Settled Plateau | 16.4 | Agree | - |
| H5 | Orchard Leigh Settled Plateau | 16.5 | Ashley Green (Not Orchards) | Change to Ashley Green Settled Plateau |
| П | Bellingdon Dipslope with Dry Valleys | 17.2 | Agree | - |
| 12 | Bledlow Ridge Dipslope with Dry Valleys | 17.1 | Agree | - |
| JI | Great Marlow Rolling Farmland Dipslope | 18.1 | Not discussed due to time constraints | Change to Great Marlow Rolling Farmland |
| J2 | Penn Settled Rolling Farmland Dipslope | 18.2 | Not discussed due to time constraints | Change to Penn Rolling Farmland |
| J3 | Little Chalfont Rolling Farmland Dipslope | 18.3 | Dipslope should not be used specifically for this area as it is all dipslope. | Change to Little Chalfont Rolling Farmland |
| J4 | Codmore Rolling Farmland Dipslope | 18.4 | Agree | Change to Codmore Rolling Farmland |
| KI | Burnham Beeches Wooded Terrace | 24.1 | Agree | - |
| К2 | Farnham and Stoke Common Wooded Terrace | 24.2 | Should this be called "Farnham and Stoke Common Heaths and Parklands"? This would relate to the biodiversity area of opportunity. | Retain name as this character area is the same landscape type as K1 (24.1). |
| LI | Marlow Floodplain | 21.1 | Thames Valley | Change to Thames |

| | Fringe | | Slope | Valley Slope |
|----|---|------|---|---|
| МІ | Stoke and Langley Park Lowland Fringe | 25.1 | Take out 'park' – as don't think Langley park should be included in LCA and Stoke Park is a golf course now. | Retain name as both Stoke Park and Langley Park are Registered historic parkland. |
| NI | Lower Misbourne Settled River Valley | 19.2 | 'Lower Misbourne River Valley' instead of 'settled'? | Retain name to distinguish from PI (23.1) which is not distinctly settled. |
| N2 | High Wycombe Valley Settled River Valley | 19.1 | Agree. | - |
| OI | Beaconsfield Mixed Use Terrace | 22.1 | 'Terrace' possibly misleading as it is geology based and doesn't mean a lot to people. But, Terrace is a good description for this area, as it isn't a plateau, but it a largely flat transitional landscape above other areas. 'Mixed use' good word to sum up the area. | Retain name |
| O2 | Chalfont St Peter Mixed Use Terrace | 22.2 | 'Chalfont St Peter' is misleading? 'Terrace' implies all the same and flat but it is not. Better named Q/ J3 (18.3) Rolling Farmland? General — Queries over O2 (22.2) and O4 (22.4) being in same category. O2 (22.2) should be more like 'J'? | Retain name as doesn't have same characteristics as rolling farmland landscape type. |
| O3 | Tatling End Mixed Use Terrace | 22.3 | Refer to ancient names? Should | Retain name. Same type as O2 (22.2) |

| | | | this be called O2 (22.2), not O3 (22.3)? O3 (22.3) dissected by too many roads etc to have a real character. | but geographically separated by NI (19.2) so is a distinct area. |
|----|---------------------------------------|------|--|--|
| 04 | Iver Heath Mixed Use Terrace | 22.4 | Agree | - |
| PI | Alder Bourne River Valley | 23.1 | Agree | - |
| QI | Burnham Undulating Farmland | 20.2 | Burnham Undulating Farmland and Parkland | Retain name as same landscape type same as Q2 (20.1) |
| Q2 | Layter's Green Undulating Farmland | 20.1 | St Giles Undulating Farmland | Change to St Giles Undulating Farmland |

3.2 General comment: Should be sure that boundaries are visible in the landscape. Contours or geological changes may not be perceived and the boundary better defined by change in landscape pattern.

BOUNDARIES, KEY CHARACTERISTICS, PERCEPTUAL/ EXPERIENTIAL LANDSCAPE AND ISSUES/ VALUES

3.3 The following tables take each character areas in turn and details participants' comments on boundaries, the key characteristics. Any issues, values relating to the landscape are also summarised.

Character Area A1 (13.5) Chess Chalk River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|----|--|
| | Check boundary to Hyde Heath as landscape is different |
| | Extend up to Pednor Valley |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 9 | Add floodplain semi improved meadows. River itself is important habitat (Water voles). Water quality |
| П | Add Frogmoe – Roman Villa site Latimer = Roman NOT Medieval Plus chalk stream, medieval flooded meadows Add watercress beds |
| 13 | Not all unspoilt – horse pasture – subdivided Valley sides: medieval terraces (Sarrat) Add conifer plantations are visually prominent Hall House |
| | Values |

| Archaeological and historic interest of whole Valley |
|--|
| Quiet tranquil character |
| Biodiversity interest of the chalk river |
| Intact river is still largely connected to its floodplain habitats |
| Protected route Chess Valley Walk. |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future (Management Needs) |
| Himalayan balsam |
| Rare birds |
| Ongoing management of floodplain habitat |
| Horticulture |
| Management of adjacent agricultural land – run off |

Character Area A2 (13.4) Misbourne Upper Chalk River Valley

| | Boundaries | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| | The boundary with Aylesbury Vale should be shown with a dotted line | | |
| | to emphasise transition over the boundary. | | |
| | Key Characteristics | | |
| I | Mention flint river gravels | | |
| I | Rises above the Missenden | | |
| I | Water companies pump out water and maintained as an artificial steam | | |
| I | Misbourne is 'Perched' - on valley side | | |
| 5 | Avoid use of 'major transport corridor' – dangerous in terms of HS2. | | |
| | The main road through the valley is narrow in places. This road is | | |
| | beyond capacity. | | |
| 6 | 'Distinctive churches' – need naming | | |
| П | Take out 'remnant' and 'flint scatter'. Use e.g. Roman villas | | |
| П | Watermills are a feature | | |
| 12 | Historic routes down valley sides to villages – circular routes down | | |
| | slopes and back up again. Cycling centre, footpaths, bridleways. | | |
| | Values | | |
| | No comment | | |
| | Issues | | |
| | No comment | | |
| | The Future | | |
| | Refer to information on biodiversity opportunity areas (online) – management of grazing, chalk grassland. | | |

Character Area A3 (13.3) Wye Valley Chalk River Valley

25

| | Boundaries |
|--|---------------------|
| | No comment |
| | Key Characteristics |

| 2 | 'chalk geology' – pudding stone post glacial geology |
|---|---|
| 2 | 'cornus' – why highlight this above other species |
| 4 | Key north / south transport corridor |
| 5 | 'dispersed' – Bradenham is nucleated village, linear, |
| 5 | 'modern influence' – what does this mean – where / why? |
| 5 | Highlight Saunderton as being different from other settlements e.g. Bradenham |
| 6 | 'visual feature' – where? Be more specific |
| 6 | Can we note that pylons are detractors / intrusive features or come out altogether? |
| | Small amounts of open access land indicative of geology |
| | Should characteristic building materials be included in ALL descriptions |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area A4 (13.2) Hughenden Valley Chalk River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Split north and south to reflect wider valley landform to south and steeper tributaries to the north. |
| | Key Characteristics |
| I | Broad arable valley to south more open than pastoral in north. |
| 8 | Hughenden Valley – modern settlement in rural landscape. |
| 9 | Distinct council park on edge of High Wycombe. Recreational area adjacent to historic parkland of Hughenden. |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | Grazing key on slopes - refer to BOA management of chalk grasslands. |

Character Area A5 (13.1) Hambleden Valley Chalk River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | Frieth towards Skirmett – 'seat' of land should be included |
| | Key Characteristics |
| I | Large tributary not appropriate – upper parts Winterbourne |
| 2 | Irregular rather than geometric |

| 5 | Hambleden Brook meanders in part and is realigned for a short distance |
|----|--|
| 6 | Holloways - going up the slope should be included 'local transport |
| | corridor' rather than minor. |
| 8 | Omission of Turville Windmill – view to Thames Valley |
| 10 | Landscape is not 'simple' - complex mixture of landscape elements - |
| | boundary is v. irregular |
| | Emphasise footpaths and rights of way among the most popular in |
| | Bucks. |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | Perception as idealised 'iconic' English countryside - 'typical' valued by |
| | film / media |
| | Change of agricultural use |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area B1 (26.1) Thames Floodplain

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Agree |
| | Key Characteristics |
| | Several historic locks and wharves, e.g. Hambleden, Marlow, Hedson |
| | Managed waterways |
| 2 | Mention marshy areas in addition to arable (wetland habitats) |
| | Marinas - watersports |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area B2 (26.3) Colne Valley Floodplain

| Boundaries |
|---|
| Boundary should reflect that the Colne Valley recreational park does not follow administrative boundaries – It extends into Hillingdon and north and south. |
| Key Characteristics |
| Underused asset for London residents |
| Local heritage is undervalued |
| River is still valuable in this context |

| Recreation features should be picked up more – country park, sailing, |
|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| canal, River Colne, cycling. |
| Wording should 'sell' the area better – too many negatives, need |
| positives (important to resist development pressures) |
| Some early historical features exist (not necessarily visible) - how do we |
| deal with / depict these on maps? (Hidden areas can be important |
| characteristics) |
| Colne Valley attributes to be prioritised – this can then be used against |
| planning pressures. |
| Bird watching important |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area B3 (26.2) Dorney Floodplain

| Boundaries |
|---|
| Agree. |
| Key Characteristics |
| Add in that there is excellent access along the flood alleviation scheme (Jubilee River) and along the Thames footpath |
| Mention Dorney Common, good access, and open views to Windsor Castle. Also, the road leading into Dorney Common from Eton Wick has a distinct character, and retained cattle grids and an historic character. |
| Values |
| Wildlife (particularly Bird life) along the rivers. It is of recognised biodiversity importance. |
| Access |
| Openness –A contrast to the northern wooded character areas, which are more enclosed. |
| Issues |
| No Comment |
| The Future |
| No Comment |

Character Area C1 (11.3) Chiltern Scarp Escarpment

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | No comment |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 7 | 'possible' – avail at very key points and describe them |

| Chalkland grassland should also feature as a characteristic feature not only ecology feature. |
|---|
| Need to list Coombe Hill and Whiteleaf Cross as important landmarks / features. |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area C2 (11.2) Wain Hill Escarpment

| | Boundaries |
|----|---|
| | Boundary with C2 (11.2) follows contour line but would have no clear |
| | boundary on the ground. |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 6 | Historic long distance footpaths |
| 9 | 'human influence' – is built form recent? Human influence is everywhere |
| 9 | 'high levels of perceived rural naturalness' – not a useful phrase – too flakey! |
| 10 | 'possible' – need to be more specific about key views so they can be defended. |
| | Is this escarpment significantly different to C1 (11.3) – difficult to know without detail but look similar from this info. |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area D1 (10.6) Risborough Foothills Scarp Foothills

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Flat area of land might better fit into EI (8.11) than DI(10.6) |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 5 | 'modern' – historic? |
| 6 | 'lanes' – roads |
| 6 | More description of rural lanes req. – e.g. run at right angles to A4010 |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |

| No comment |
|------------|
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area E1 (8.11) Longwick Vale

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Significant "vale facing" features of Whiteleaf Cross and Coombe Hill monument - the views to these features as landmarks are significant from vale. |
| | Question boundary of EI(8.11) / DI (10.6) – should it be brought in further as landscape open / agricultural as in EI(8.11). |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 8 | Views up to escarpment |
| | Need to see commonality with adjacent Haddenham vale in AVDC |
| 8 | Views up to escarpment as well as from it. |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area F1 (14.1) Great Hampden Wooded Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|----|---|
| | No comment |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 5 | Views out from edge (scarp) |
| | Simple landscape of woodland & arable: 2 landowners |
| П | Dense network |
| 12 | + intrusive features |
| 9 | Hampden House (not Hall) |
| 7 | Low scrub – ancient coppice stools |
| | Values |
| | Need managed woodland |
| | Views |
| | Rights of way network |
| | Issues (Management) |
| | Well managed woodland + farmland |
| | Commons – secondary woodland removal (not priority) |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area G1 (15.1) Lee and Buckland Common Undulating Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | No comment |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 9 | Deserted medieval site at The Lee |
| П | Very accessible from station at Great Missenden |
| 4 | Small area of acid grassland and heath at Cholesbury |
| | ✓ Picks out main characteristics |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future (Management) |
| | Footpaths |
| | Secondary woodland on open habitats |
| | Minor area of historic hedgerows |
| | Management of small areas of woodland |

Character Area G2 Southend Undulating Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Question as to whether the character area continues into Oxon? Part of a wider area - clarify. |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 2 | More emphasis on woodland rather than arable |
| 3 | Concentration of woodland should read south eastern |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | Land management – wire fences – private land ownership |
| | Intensification – arable farming (potential) |
| | The Future |
| | No comments |

Character Area H1 (16.2) Walter's Ash Settled Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | No comment |
| | Key Characteristics |
| I | 'Clayey' – real word? |
| 2 | 'Modern' – 20 th century better, or post war. |

| 2 | 'Distinctive brick and flint' – historic or modern or both? Materials not |
|---|---|
| | mentioned in other descriptions, need to be consistent |
| 7 | 'common land' – very important feature needs prominence raising |
| 7 | 'recreational opportunities' - haven't mentioned recreational |
| | opportunities in other descriptions – need to be consistent throughout, |
| | e.g. Coombe Hill, Whiteleaf Cross |
| 9 | 'RAF High Wycombe' – needs more description and expand on its |
| | influence. |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area H2 (16.3) Great Kingshill Settled Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|----|--|
| | Agree |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 3 | Suburban type. Mock brick with flints – poor modern replication. |
| 3 | Influence of edge of Wycombe |
| 10 | 'strong sense of movement' too generic |
| | Values |
| | No comments |
| | Issues |
| | Poor replication of brick and flint on modern buildings. |
| | The Future |
| | Refer to Biodiversity Opportunity Area info for Prestwood. |

Character Area H3 (16.1) Stokenchurch Settled Plateau

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | Not happy with the reasons for lumping together Stokenchurch & Lane |
| | End – appropriate? |
| | Key Characteristics |
| I | No comments |
| | Values |
| | No comments |
| | Issues |
| | No comments |
| | The Future |
| | No comments |

Character Area H4 (16.4) Hyde Heath Settled Plateau

| | Boundaries | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | The boundary should be extended south eastwards to include | | |
| | Amersham and the heathland to the east of Amersham. The boundary | | |
| | should be on the western edge of Little Chalfont | | |
| | Key Characteristics | | |
| 1. | Openness is important | | |
| 2. | Small historic core to Hyde Heath and South Heath with modern | | |
| | development edge | | |
| 2. | Importance of heathland and connection to villages -HLC | | |
| 6. | Archaeology not distinctive in this area | | |
| 7. | Pylon line is a localised impact | | |
| | Add 'metroland' to description of Amersham on the hill. Amersham old | | |
| | town is in valley. Must distinguish between these two. | | |
| | Values | | |
| | No comments | | |
| | Issues | | |
| | HS2 and mitigation | | |
| | The Future | | |
| | HS2 and mitigation | | |

Character Area H5 (16.5) Orchard Leigh Settled Plateau

| | Boundaries | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | No comment | | | |
| | Key Characteristics | | | |
| 7 | Brick works at Leyhill | | | |
| | Add: Formerly – area of orchards (some remnants) and market gardens | | | |
| | Orchard layer from NE | | | |
| | Horses & paddocks | | | |
| 7 | Recreation = golf course | | | |
| | Values / Management Needs | | | |
| | Look at orchards – traditional? Important for historic and biodiversity | | | |
| | Hedgerows – restoration of boundaries | | | |
| | Issues | | | |
| | No comment | | | |
| | The Future | | | |
| | No comment | | | |

Character Area I1 (17.2) Bellingdon Dipslope with Dry Valleys

| | See boundary with Chess | |
|--|--|--|
| | Key Characteristics | |
| | Pre 18 th century hedgerows (v valuable) – important historic feature and biodiversity value. | |
| | Linear woodland marks Parish boundary between Cholesbury and Bellingdon Brick kilns and brick walks Lowland meadows at Hawridge Ct Farm Dry valley – (wet valley included in Chess) No routes across | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Values | |
| | Hedges | |
| | Acid / healthy remnant commons | |
| | Up and town topography ridge and valley = distinctive | |
| | Dry valleys | |
| | Hawridge Common linked to Cholesbury – I unit | |
| | Hawridge - relict acid grassland / heathland - now wooded (Langley) - retraction? | |
| | Issues | |
| | Non-traditional species farms & assorted infrastructure — changing landscape character | |
| | The Future (Management) | |
| | Hedges need management – turning into rows of trees | |
| | Nutrient levels in soils next to hedge = high | |

Character Area I2 (17.1) Bledlow Ridge Dipslope with Dry Valleys

| | Boundaries | |
|----|---|--|
| | No comment | |
| | Key Characteristics | |
| 7 | 'good' network of footpaths – not a helpful word – extensive? | |
| 7 | 'notable audible and visual impact' – highlight this in other descriptions too or not at all. | |
| 8 | 'yew woodland' – typically the understorey rather than the dominant species | |
| 9 | 'uniform and simple' – more varied with complex features | |
| 9 | 'sense of rural naturalness' – not helpful phrase, too vague | |
| 10 | 'contains views' – offer / presents | |
| 10 | 'Vistas towards Hambleden Valley' – is this true? It's miles away | |
| | Need to mention in all descriptions whether valleys are dry or wet | |
| | Include ref to urban fringe aspects in A3 (13.3), H1 (16.2), I2 (17.1), and any others about H. Wycombe | |
| | Views of W Wye from H1 (16.2) and I2 (17.1). | |

| Values |
|------------|
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area J1 (18.1) Great Marlow Rolling Farmland Dipslope

Not completed due to time constraints

Character Area J2 (18.2) Penn Settled Rolling Farmland Dipslope

Not completed due to time constraints

Character Area J3 (18.3) Little Chalfont Rolling Farmland Dipslope

| | Boundaries | |
|---|---|--|
| | The boundary of H4 (16.4) should be extended south eastwards into what is currently J3 (18.3) to include Amersham and the heathland to the east of Amersham. The boundary should be on the western edge of Little Chalfont. | |
| | Key Characteristics | |
| I | Add 'metroland' to description of Amersham on the hill. Amersham old town is in valley. Must distinguish between these two. | |
| 3 | Woodland boundary to north along valley top influences character | |
| 5 | Not relevant with boundary change | |
| | 'rural naturalness' not an accurate description due to busy roads. Away from A404 it is quieter. | |
| | Accessible woodland | |
| | Recreational links with the Chess Valley. | |
| | Values | |
| | No comment | |
| | Issues | |
| | No comment | |
| | The Future | |
| | No comment | |

Character Area J4 (18.4) Codmore Rolling Farmland Dipslope

| Boundaries |
|------------------------------|
| No comment |
| Key Characteristics |
| Are there any ancient lanes? |

| OK |
|------------|
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area K1 (24.1) Burnham Beeches Wooded Terrace

| | Boundaries | |
|----|--|--|
| | In the south on the lower eastern boundary, move all the fields on the east of Cliveden Road to Q1 (20.2), and keep the wooded edge part of K1 (24.1). As the landscape here is similar to the landscape surrounding Dropmore Road in Q1 (20.2). | |
| | Key Characteristics | |
| 10 | Highlight Cliveden Park. | |
| | Values | |
| | Wooded enclosure and intimacy | |
| | Cliveden Park and landscape. | |
| | Issues | |
| | No Comments | |
| | The Future | |
| | No Comments | |

Character Area K2 (24.2) Farnham and Stoke Common Wooded Terrace

| | Boundaries | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Langley Park should also be in K2 (24.2) (or M1 (25.1) "Lowland Fringe")? Or is this correct from a historical view? | | |
| | K2 (24.2) / M1 (25.1) boundary. Why cut across? | | |
| | Key Characteristics | | |
| 2 | 'pockets of heathland' (65ha in total) is misleading – there are more than just pockets | | |
| 5 | Roads / lanes form a north – south divide. | | |
| | Values | | |
| | Not so much development pressure? | | |
| | Variation – open nature, woodland, heathland | | |
| | Close to settlements for access | | |
| | Constraints on development of Green Belt designation (and all areas) | | |
| | Issues | | |
| | No comment | | |
| | The Future | | |

| No comment | |
|------------|--|
|------------|--|

Character Area L1 (21.1) Marlow Floodplain Fringe

| Boundaries |
|---------------------|
| Agree |
| Key Characteristics |
| Agree |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area M1 (25.1) Stoke and Langley Park Lowland Fringe

| Boundaries |
|--|
| Langley Park is dissected between two LCAs should be included within one (possibly K2 (24.2)). |
| Extend the boundary east, to include the orchards and smaller fields. |
| K2 (24.2) / MI (25.1) boundary. Why cut across? |
| Key Characteristics |
| Add in famous views to Windsor Castle from Langley Park. |
| Mention Grand Union Canal and good access along here. |
| Mention Stoke Poges memorial |
| Possibly mention the settlement edge of Slough and the urban fringe, and its affect on the landscape. |
| Large houses scattered along the southern edge, with wealthy owners. Demonstrate the close proximity to London. |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| Maintain and enhance hedgerows, that link through t to the wooded areas (K1 (24.1) and K2 (24.2)) in the north. |
| Maintain or reinstate the orchards. |

Character Area N1 (19.2) Lower Misbourne Settled River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|---|----------------------------|
| Ī | West boundary in Chalfont? |
| | Key Characteristics |

| | Description does not pick up river characteristics and water meadows |
|---|--|
| | etc |
| 4 | A40 not in this area |
| 8 | Gerrards Cross not in N1 (19.2) – Chalfont St. Peter only |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area N2 (19.1) High Wycombe Valley Settled River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| | Should encompass built environment in J1 (18.1) |
| | Key Characteristics |
| I | Include containing the River Wye, its tributaries and back streams. |
| 3 | Valley sides are more open in the east |
| | Parklands that border the River / The Rye, etc |
| 4 | Start with trees and woodlands |
| 7 | High levels of activityin built up areas |
| | Values |
| | No comment |
| | Urban development – expansion of HW opportunities to open river out – culverted by Mills etc |
| | Importance of wooded valley sides |
| | Lack of opportunity wildlife because of development. |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area O1 (22.1) Beaconsfield Mixed Use Terrace

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | Bulstrode Park shouldn't be dissected and split into two separate LCA's. It should be wholly within O1 (22.1) or P1 (23.1) (ideally P1 (23.1)). |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 5 | Highlight and name the specific groups of National Trust woods in the north west. Also mention the orchard in the north west. |
| 6 | Add railway line and A40 which cross the area. Also the A355 which cuts through in a north, south direction and is particularly busy (It has been highlighted by the DC and CC as a problem road) |
| | Mention the common areas that are present along the edge of Beaconsfield Old Town. |

| Values |
|--|
| Access to open countryside for the town of Beaconsfield. |
| Well used footpath across the golf course in the north (elsewhere poor access) |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| Management of industrial areas in the future. |

Character Area O2 (22.2) Chalfont St Peter Mixed Use Terrace

| Boundaries |
|--|
| No comment |
| Key Characteristics |
| Some open fields – definition confusing to some? |
| Values |
| Openness |
| Footpath |
| Ancient woodlands |
| Chiltern open air museum |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area O3 (22.3) Tatling End Mixed Use Terrace

| Boundaries |
|---|
| Boundary crosses over M40 / M25 - straight boundaries better here? |
| Footpath down Brokengate Lane (Tatling End / Bakers Wood – O3 (22.3) / NI (19.2)) |
| Key Characteristics |
| Denham Country Park is in B2 (26.3), not O3 (22.3). |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment |

Character Area O4 (22.4) Iver Heath Mixed Use Terrace

| | Boundaries |
|---|--|
| Ī | Alter the south west boundary, by including the orchards and smaller |
| | scale fields with M1 (25.1) |

| Key Characteristics |
|---|
| Agree that the Key characteristics sum up the area – definitely a large scale, developed landscape. |
| Values |
| No comment |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| No comment. |

Character Area P1 (23.1) Alder Bourne River Valley

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | M25 / M40 Junction within O3 (22.3) or P1 (23.1)? |
| | Settlement boundary description is confusing |
| | Need for all little areas (contours) at western end? |
| | Bulstrode Park (west of Gerrards Cross) – is this a parkland rather than river valley? Boundary crosses the park. |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 8 | No need for word 'isolated' |
| | Values |
| | Good views of valley from M40 (south of Gerrards Cross) |
| | Issues |
| | No comment |
| | The Future |
| | No comment |

Character Area Q1 (20.2) Burnham Undulating Farmland

| | Boundaries |
|---|---|
| | Consider changing the northern boundary to include to golf course parkland and surrounding fields with K1 (24.1), due to underlying historical wooded pastures, and historical affinity with K. |
| | Or move the boundary in the west, to incorporate strip of fields to the west of Cliveden Road, which have a similar character as the fields either site of Dropmore Road, so that both these areas are included within Q1 (20.2). |
| | Key Characteristics |
| 5 | Remove or change the word 'overt'. Golf courses and paddocks and close to Slough. Maybe should just highlight that there are few people and little movement instead. |
| | Highlight the affinities of this landscape with the northern K1 (24.1), in terms of the underlying history of pasture? |
| | Should Cliveden be a LCA on its own? |
| | Values |

| Views the south |
|---|
| Buffer area, stopping the expansion of Slough to the north into wooded |
| terrace. |
| A lot of trees along roads and fields, but still manages to maintain an |
| openness. |
| Issues |
| No comment |
| The Future |
| Enhancing. There is grazing in K1 (24.1), possible link through this area, so it has a closer affinity with the grazed areas there. |

Character Area Q2 (20.1) Layter's Green Undulating Farmland

| | Boundaries | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Quaker Meeting House on the boundary and should be pulled into this character area. | | | | |
| | The northern part of Character Area PI (23.1) and part of J2 (18.2) and OI (22.1) should be included in this area. North western boundary should be A355 and south western boundary the Oxford Road. Seer Green and Jordans should wholly be included with Bircher Spring and Birchland Wood included to the west and south respectively. | | | | |
| | Key Characteristics | | | | |
| 4. | Layter's Green is not a village. | | | | |
| 4. | Jordans is an early 20 th century planned village. Jordans is a notable centre for Quakerism with a Quaker Meeting House. | | | | |
| 6. | Butlers Cross could be confused with one in the Chilterns. | | | | |
| | Values | | | | |
| | The adjacent Alderbourne Valley | | | | |
| | Issues | | | | |
| | No comments | | | | |
| | The Future | | | | |
| | No comments | | | | |

4 Evaluation

- 4.1 Following the workshop, participants had the opportunity to make additional comments which were forwarded to LUC (refer to Appendix B). Comments made by workshop participants were incorporated and integrated into the final Landscape Character Assessments for each District.
- 4.2 Land Use Consultants would like to take this opportunity to thank all participants for their attendance and the provision of extremely useful information.

Appendix A

Workshop Participants and Facilitators

| | Character Areas |
|--|--------------------|
| Group 1 - Chiltern: Facilitator Kate Ahern (LUC) | |
| Cllr Nicholas Rose – CDC | G1 (15.1) |
| Sarah Scott - Environment Agency | A1 (13.5) |
| Kath Daly- Chilterns Conservation Board | I1 (17.2) |
| Jez Elkin - Bucks Biodiversity Partnership | H5 (16.5) |
| Yvonne Edwards - Chess Valley Archaeological Society | J4 (18.4) |
| Mike Walker - BCC | F1 (14.1) |
| Cherry Aston - Buckinghamshire Rural Advisory Group | () |
| Cherry Aston Backinghamshire Raral Advisory Group | |
| Group 2 - Chiltern: Facilitator Kate Milner (LUC) | |
| Heather Lewis - Berks Bucks Wildlife Trust | A4 (13.2) |
| Cllr Martin Tett - BCC | Q2 (20.1) |
| David Waker - CDC | H4 (16.4) |
| | , , |
| Sarah Wright - Natural England | A2 (13.4) |
| Mark Bailey - BCC | J3 (18.3) |
| Neil Jackson - Chilterns Conservation Board | H2 (16.3) |
| Mike Farley - Bucks Archaeological Society | J2 (18.2) |
| | |
| Group 3 - South Bucks: Facilitator Simon Gray (SBDC) | 2 - (2 - 2) |
| Cllr Roger Reed - SBDC | O3 (22.3) |
| Stewart Pomeroy - Groundwork Thames Valley/Colne Valley Park | B2 (26.3) |
| Peter Beckford - SBDC | N1 (19.2) |
| Chris Kenneford - BCC | O2 (22.2) |
| Robert Reeves - Hillingdon District Council | P1 (23.1) |
| Martin Hartup - City of London | K2 (24.2) |
| Sandy Kidd - BCC | , |
| • | |
| Group 4 - South Bucks: Facilitator Hannah Leach (LUC) | |
| Chris Marchant - SBDC | O1 (22.1) |
| Andy McVeigh - BCC | Q1 (20.2) |
| Nigel Boden - National Trust | K1 (24.1) |
| Peter Miller - HS2 Ltd | B3 (26.2) |
| Graham Fairclough - English Heritage | M1 (25.1) |
| Jon Clark - BCC | O4 (22.4) |
| John Clark - BCC | 04 (22.4) |
| Group 5 - Wycombe: Facilitator David Green (BCC) | |
| David Dewar - WDC | H3 (16.1) |
| Barbara Wallis - Little Marlow Parish | A5 (13.1) |
| Haidrun Breith - WDC | G2 (15.2) |
| | ` ' |
| Mai Nielsen - BCC | N2 (19.1) |
| Colin Berks - Marlow Archaeological Society | L1 (21.1) |
| Lesley Sproat - Environment Agency | B1 (26.1) |
| Mike Overall - Chilterns Society | J1 (18.1) |
| One on C. Microsopher Nilei Hedian | |
| Group 6 - Wycombe: Niki Huijer | E4 (0.44) |
| David Broadley - AVDC | E1 (8.11) |
| Bettina Kirkham - Chilterns Conservation Board | C1 (11.3) |
| Phil Simpkin - WDC | I2 (17.1) |
| Vicky Wetherell - BCC | C2 (11.2) |
| Marian Miller - Chiltern Society | H1 (16.2) |
| Marcus Rogers - BCC | A3 (13.3) |
| | D1 (10.6) |
| | 2. (10.0) |

Appendix B

Post-Workshop Comments from Participants

Wycombe, South Bucks and Chiltern Districts Landscape Character Assessment

Follow-up thoughts from stakeholder consultation workshop

General

<u>Maps</u> The topographically drawn maps do not always reflect the perception of the landscape on the ground e.g. boundaries are drawn through woodland and edges of large settlements.

<u>Key characteristics</u> It would be useful if there were the same number for each area and they were identifiable – perhaps what we looked at in the Carousel exercise, but expanded to 10? These could be

- 1. Topography and underlying geology and general description.
- 2. Agriculture including historic field patterns and hedgerows.
- 3. Woodland.
- 4. Any other landscape features e.g. gravel pits and commons.
- 5. Built characteristics and extent of settlement
- 6. Heritage Assets.
- 7. Views and perceptions of tranquillity
- 8. Toads and paths.
- 9. Biodiversity
- 10. Recreation.

It is also important that descriptions should not be ambiguous.

Using this scheme I have looked at the 3 areas J1 (18.1), B1 (26.1) and L1 (21.1) that I know best. Unfortunately I only have the small scale maps so I may be wrong about some of the details that are near the boundaries.

B1 (26.1) Thames Floodplain

<u>Note:</u> the boundary of Bucks County and Wycombe District is the River Thames. The landscape extends into Maidenhead and Windsor and Wokingham Districts.

Key Characteristics

- 1. A flat, low lying floodplain, with very slight local topographic variation, underlain by a mix of alluvium, head and gravel formations, with free draining soils. Defined by the locks and pounds in the river (see Lesley Sproat's comments)
- 2. Arable cultivation dominates along the northern fringe of the area, combined with smaller field of rough grazing. Fields near the river are liable to flooding-some have been historic water meadows. A mixed field pattern with enclosures from irregular pre 18th century though regular parliamentary enclosures to 20th century extended fields and horse paddocks. Hedgerows and wooden fencing define fields.
- 3. Scattered or clumped trees along field boundaries, isolated trees and small pockets of trees are dispersed occasionally across the area particularly along the smaller water courses, but overall woodland cover is sparse.
- 4. The River Thames flows along the southern boundary. A network of open water bodies lying just north of the river, occupy former mineral extraction pits.
- 5. The town of Marlow is the prominent settlement in the landscape with a strong historic character that is shared with villages such as Little Marlow and

- Medmenham. Bourne End in the east has grown up in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. A number of minor historic houses are built along the Thames.
- 6. There is a very long history of settlement adjacent to the river Thames see C. Birk's comments for the archaeology. Outside Marlow and the historic villages, the historic buildings include Fawley Court in the west, Danesfield House and Harleyford Manor in the middle and Westhorpe house and Hedsor House towards the east.
- 7. The low-lying, flat and open landscape allows for occasional long views and panoramic vistas particularly north towards the higher sloping topography of the lower dip slope. Some pockets of tranquillity and calm associated with area of water and away from roads and settlement.
- 8. The east of the area is cut by the busy A4155 and the A404 which has a strong visual and audible impact. Apart from the A404, the only road bridge over the Thames is in Marlow. The Thames Path National trail follows the river between footbridges at Temple (west of Marlow) and at Bourne End railway bridge. The Bourne End to Marlow railway runs through the eastern part of the area providing a link to Maidenhead and thence London.
- 9. The interesting flora and fauna are water based. There is a gravel-pit lake nature reserve at Spade Oak, Little Marlow and some wet woodland nearby (see M. Overall's comments) Loddon Lilies grow wild in the western water meadows.
- 10. Numerous recreational opportunities are often associated with areas of water including the Thames Path National Trail, water sports and marinas, fishing and bird watching.

Low Grounds is a very significant element of the areas character. So far revealed:

3 Barrows (Neolithic < Bronze Age 2 Mortuary enclosures (probably Neolithic) An intact Neolithic Tannery. A large Neolithic ditch enclosure (possible house) Paleo channel Evidence of settlement / agriculture Much other evidence still under investigation

Attached is a location plan (red line) and a record drawing showing the most significant features.